

金值你算得你。

Chippewa County, MI Coastal Hazard Analysis Flood Risk Review Meeting

July 11, 2018





- Introductions
- Coastal Flood Risk Study and Mapping Program
- Current Status
- Technical Overview of Study and Mapping
- Floodplain Management
- Next Steps
- ► Q&A
- Work map Review







金倉谷島谷の

Chippewa County, MI

COASTAL FLOOD RISK STUDY AND MAPPING PROGRAM

Great Lakes Flood Study

- Comprehensive study of the Coastal Great Lakes flood hazards
- Latest technology, data, and models including response based modelling concepts







FEMA's Risk MAP Program

Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning ...

- Will deliver quality data to increase public awareness and lead to action that reduces risk to life and property
- New non-regulatory products and datasets







Mitigation Actions: A Shared Responsibility









STRUCTURE AND INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS Acquisition Elevation Revetments and Seawalls Breakwater LOCAL PLAN AND REGULATIONS

Zoning Building Codes Open Space Plan Lake Front Development Master Plan CITIZEN AND BUSINESS ENGAGEMENT Firewise StormReady NFIP and CRS

NATURAL SYSTEM PROTECTION

Vegetation management Wetland restoration Erosion control






Chippewa County, MI
CURRENT STATUS REVIEW

Analyses/Mapping: Grouping

Michigan

- Baraga
- Marquette
- Alger
- Chippewa
- FRR Meetings fall at the end of a multi-year study including sophisticated modeling
- Next, FEMA Regional staff to determine status of developing official regulatory Flood Insurance Rate Maps








Current Study Status





Work Map Data Viewer: Online GIS Data




Link to the Chippewa County, MI Work Map Data Viewer: http://arcg.is/04emz8








Work Map Data Viewer: Maps







Work Map Data Viewer: Transect Summary Sheets






FEMA



Chippewa County, MI TECHNICAL OVERVIEW OF STUDY AND MAPPING

Coastal Flood Hazard Modeling Overview







Step 1: ADCIRC+SWAN Mesh



 Resolution as Fine as 10 m Along Complex Shoreline Features including Jetties, Breakwaters, Inlets, and Natural Shoals








Step 1: Run the Models

Meteorological **Physical Setting** Baseline Forcing Waves Wind Water Level **Bathymetry** Ice **Still Water Elevations Pressure** Total of 150 events between 1960-2009 FEMA

Increasing Resilience Together


Step 1: Lake Levels








Step 1: Lake Levels




Increasing Resilience Together

Step 1: Lake Levels



Increasing Resilience Together

Step 1: Example Surge Behavior







Step 1: Water Level Accuracy Assessment

		1-percent-annual chance SWEL (ft, IGLD85)	
Location		Modeled	Observed
9099004	Point Iroquois, MI	603.6	604.5
9099018	Marquette, MI	603.4	604.1
9099044	Ontonagon, MI	603.2	603.5
9099064	Duluth, MN	603.5	604.1
9099090	Grand Marais, MN	603.2	603.6





Step 2: Nearshore Wave-Induced Flood Hazards

• Nearshore Wave-Induced Flood Hazards Analysis includes:

 Shoreline classification 2-D Wave and Surge Model data extraction Wave setup • Erosion Evaluation of coastal structures Along 1-D Transects Wave runup • Wave overtopping • **Overland wave propagation** • **Statistical analysis** •





Step 2: Transect Layout

- Chippewa County
- 31 transects
- 46 panels










Step 2: Transect Analysis Overview







Total Water Level



Total Water Level


- 1. Water Level (Surge)
- 2. Waves
- 3. Setup, Runup and/or Overtopping

Increasing Resilience Together



Step 2: Transect Analysis: Wave Setup and Runup

- Wave Runup is the uprush of water on a barrier
 - Barriers include dune, seawall, revetment, bluff, or other steep shoreline feature








Step 2: Transect Analysis: Wave Overtopping

 If the wave runup exceeds the elevation of the barrier, overtopping will occur







Step 2: Runup








Step 2: Runup

Runup Method Decision Flow Chart



Step 2: Overtopping



https://twitter.com/akpix/status/985285850245271552






Step 2: Compute Setup, Runup, and Overtopping


- 150 storms with hourly waves and water levels yields hourly wave setup, runup and overtopping rates
- Hourly Stillwater Levels (SWELs)
- Hourly Setup + Runup = Hourly Total Water Levels (TWLs)
- Extract the peak SWEL and TWL from each storm
- Return period analysis performed on TWL and SWEL





Step 2: Runup





Increasing Resilience Together




Step 2: Overland Wave Propagation

- Identify 5 pairs of water level and wave height that represent a 1% annual-chance occurrence (Joint Probability Method or JPM)
- Determine if transect is subject to erosion
 - Develop a theoretical storm event using the 5 pairs
- Determine wave setup elevations
 - Using the Direct Integration Method (DIM)
 - Wave setup + SWL = Total Stillwater Level (TSWL)
- Use Wave Height Analysis for Flood Insurance Studies (WHAFIS) to determine interaction of waves with the backshore







Step 3: Runup VE Zones

- Intact transects
 - VE zone mapped to elevation associated with TWL
- Failed transects (coastal structures)
 - VE zone mapped to station along the profile associated with TWL
 - Elevation will not match topography since failure include profile modification
- Eroded profiles
 - VE zone mapped to station along the profile associated with TWL
 - Elevation will not match topography since profile is eroded





Step 3: Other Overtopping Zones

- AO Zones
 - Applied in areas of shallow flooding, usually sheet flow on sloping terrain
 - BFEs not provided, instead average flood depths of between one and three feet is specified
 - Flooding depth associated with overtopping rate

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ Order of Magnitude	Flood insurance risk zone Behind Barrier	
<0.0001 cfs/ft	Zone X	
0.0001-0.01 cfs/ft	Zone AO (1 foot depth) or Zone AE with BFE	
0.01-0.1 cfs/ft	Zone AO (2 foot depth) or Zone AE with BFE	
0.1-1.0 cfs/ft	Zone AO (3 foot depth) or Zone AE with BFE	
	30-foot width ⁺ of Zone VE	
>1.0 cfs/ft*	(elevation 3 feet above barrier crest),	
-1.0 015/11	landward Zone AO (3 foot depth) or Zone AE with	
	BFE	





Step 3: Overland Wave Propagation VE Zones

- VE zone associated with the location of the 3 foot breaking wave
- AE zones can exist with BFEs higher than TSWL as wave action is considered
- Most conservative of the 5 WHAFIS runs selected for mapping
- Most conservative is associated with largest extend of flooding and highest VE zone





Step 3: SWL or TSWL Inundation







Step 3: Zone Breaks

Zone Breaks Along the Coast

Represent the Extents of Each Unique Coastal Feature










Draft Work Map vs FIS/FIRM

Chippewa County, MI Work Map

Chippewa County, MI effective FIRM

Will not affect flood insurance requirements or costs

FEMA








Chippewa County, MI FEMA FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT

Current Study Status



Floodplain Management Workshops

- Conducted by FEMA/DNR just before preliminary maps are released
- Workshop details:
 - Approximately 3 4 hours
 - Designed for floodplain administrator, zoning official, building inspectors, permit officials, etc.
 - Basics of Coastal Flooding
 - Using the Flood Insurance Study and FIRM for coastal studies
 - Floodplain Management Standards in Coastal High Hazard Areas (in depth)
 - NFIP Insurance in Coastal Zones





The community must require that all new construction and substantial improvements have the lowest horizontal structural member of the lowest floor elevated to or above the base flood level,

... with the space below the lowest floor either free of obstruction or constructed with nonsupporting breakaway walls ...





Lowest horizontal structural member







Other key standards in Zone VE:

- Fill for structural support is prohibited
- Elevated portion of the building and piling/column foundation must be designed to withstand water and wind loads acting simultaneously under base flood conditions
- Structural design, specifications and plans for construction must be developed or reviewed and certified by a registered professional engineer or architect

	V ZONE DE	SIGN CERT	IFICATE			
lame		PolicyNumbe	r (Insurance Co.Us	e)		
	Other Description	1 only Matheo	(110414100 00.00	0/		
ermit No.	City		State	Zip Code		
	SECTION L Flood Insu	D	TIDAL L.C.	and the second se		
				the second se		
Community No.	Panel No.	Suffix	FIRM Date	FIRM Zone(s)		
	SECTION II: Elevat	on Information	Used for Des	sion		
NOTE: This section dend is not equivalent t	ocuments the elevations/depths use to the as-built elevations required to	d or specified in the d be submitted during o	esign – it does not i r after construction	document surveyed elevations		
	d Elevation (BFE)	and all the second s				
	sign Flood Elevation (DFE)					
Elevation of the	Bottom of Lowest Horizontal Struc	tural Member		fer		
	vest Adjacent Grade					
	ated Scour/Erosion used for Foun					
	pth of Pilings or Foundation Below					
	ion datum used in 1-4: UNGVD					
a calenda di alta						
	SECTION III: V Zor					
certity that: (1) I ha eferenced building a tandards of practice	we developed or reviewed the stru- ind (2) that the design and method	uctural design, plans is of construction spe ions:	, and specification acified to be used	are in accordance with accept		
	he lowest horizontal structural me		oor (excluding pile	s and columns) is elevated to		
used are those local building co	effects of the wind and water loads associated with the base flood***. ode. The potential for scour and er ood, including wave action.	acting simultaneous Wind loading values osion at the foundati	ty on all building c used are those re ion has been antic	omponents. Water loading valu quired by the applicable State spated for conditions associate		
	SECTION IV: Breakawa	Wall Design Co	ertification St	atement		
vore than 20 psf (0.9	st be certified by a registered engine 6 kN/m2) determined using allowabi	le stress design]				
Breakaway wall The elevated po	we developed or reviewed the stru ad under the above-referenced bu dance with accepted standards of collapse shall result from a water intion of the building and supportin damage due to the effects of wind	load less than that w	hich would occur (shall not be subi	during the base flood""". ect to collapse, displacement.		
	SECTION	V: Certification	and Seal			
his certification is t tructural designs, I Certification Stateme	to be signed and sealed by a reg certify the V Zone Design Certif ent (Section IV, check if applicable)	istered professional ication Statement (S	engineer or archi ection III) and	tect authorized by law to cert the Breakaway Wall Dest		
Certifier's Name	ier's NameLicense Number			1		
				1		
Address			Place Seal Nere			
City State Zip Code						
aty	State	Zip Gode				
Signature	Date	Telephone				
			1.0.15	a substances		
				INSTRUCTION CERTIFICAT		





Model Ordinance Development

- FEMA Region V and Michigan DEQ are working together to prepare a model ordinance to incorporate V zone standards
- Ordinances must be updated/adopted by effective date of maps




Increasing Resilience Together



Online Resources

Great Lakes Coastal Resilience Planning:

https://coast.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/tools/gl-resilience.html







Great Lakes Coastal Flood Study

Great Lakes Coastal Analysis & Mapping Additional Resources

Great Lakes Coastal Flood Study

Welcome to GreatLakesCoast.org

Great Lakes Coastal Analysis & Mapping Wind Surge Study **Coastal Hazard Analysis** & Mapping Great Lakes Flood Zone Overview **Technical Resources** Outreach Fact Sheets Newsletters Presentations Events Coastal Scoping & **Discovery Reports** Additional Resources **Contact Information** Site Man

Search for: Search Welcome to the Great Lakes Coastal Flood Study website at greatlakescoast.org. This is the official public website for FEMA's comprehensive storm and wind study of the Great Lakes basin for the purpose of updating the coastal flood hazard information and Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) for Great Lakes coastal communities. This is the main page of the website and contains the most recent content posted to the site. Use the menu at the left to visit pages with additional content pertaining to the Great Lakes Coastal Flood Study.

Home

FEMA Announces Additional Lake Michigan WorkMap Meetings

July 27, 2017 — Great Lakes Coast

Local officials and technical stakeholders are being invited to community meetings to review and comment on FEMA's draft coastal flood hazard workmaps for the Lake Michigan Shoreline. FEMA's outreach for the 2017 workmaps started in early July. Meetings have already occurred for Illinois, Indiana and Wisconsin communities. The meeting schedule for Michigan and the remaining Wisconsin counties is below.

Each meeting will include a summary of the draft work maps, Q&A, and a breakout for review of community-specific data via printed and online maps. Staff members and officials representing villages, cities, and county government, regional organizations, non-governmental bodies, neighborhood associations, and harbor and shoreline protection engineers are encouraged to attend and to provide feedback within the 60-day comment period.

Link to Map Viewer User Guide to learn more about the Draft Work Maps.

For more information: KEN HINTERLONG Senior Engineer, Risk Analysis FEMA Region 5 312-408-5529 ken.hinterlong@fema.dhs.gov

Additional Information:

Great Lakes Coastal Resilience Planning Guide: http://www.greatlakesresilience.org/ USACE High Resolution Oblique Aerial Images: https://greatlakes.erdc.dren.mil/

Wisconsin

Ozaukee and Sheboygan County Tuesday, August 8, 9:30-11:30am Rocca Meeting Room

http://www.greatlakescoast.org/



RSS Feed

Great Lakes Coast RSS

Archives

July 2017 (2)
July 2016 (1)
September 2014 (1)
July 2014 (1)
June 2014 (1)
April 2014 (1)
February 2014 (1)
December 2013 (1)
July 2013 (2)
October 2012 (1)
August 2012 (1)









金谷の留合の

Chippewa County, MI **NEXT STEPS**

Coastal Risk Awareness

KNOW YOUR RISK

Do your residents know about their flood risk?

KNOW YOUR ROLE

Do your residents know what mitigation actions they should/can take?

Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan for Chippewa County - Last update 2014

TAKE ACTION

Encourage your residents to take the actions that can build their resiliency to flooding.







Review and comment period ends 8/24/2018







Comments

Send comments via email to williamsjo@cdmsmith.com or mail to:

- Great Lakes Coastal Flood Study Comment Repository c/o CDM Smith Attn: Jordan Williams 555 17th Ave, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202
- Include county, community, map panel number, description of area (screenshots or drawings are very helpful), detailed comment, and contact information

- You will receive acknowledgement of receipt of your comment within 3 business days
- Within 3 weeks, FEMA's response will indicate if enough technical justification was provided to necessitate a map change
- If you are not satisfied with a comment response on technical grounds, consider using the appeal process during Preliminary FIRM rollout





FEMA Contacts

Ken Hinterlong *Senior Engineer, Risk Analysis FEMA Region 5* 312-408-5529 <u>ken.hinterlong@fema.dhs.gov</u> **COMMENT REPOSITORY:**

Send comments via email to <u>williamsjo@cdmsmith.com</u> or mail to:

Great Lakes Coastal Flood Study Comment Repository c/o CDM Smith Attn: Jordan Williams 555 17th Ave, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202









Thank you for your participation!







金色金色叠合论

Interactive session to review the coastal work maps

COASTAL WORK MAP DEMO